

SEGAL-BARGMANN TRANSFORM AND PALEY-WIENER THEOREMS ON $M(2)$

E. K. NARAYANAN AND SUPARNA SEN

ABSTRACT. We study the Segal-Bargmann transform on $M(2)$. The range of this transform is characterized as a weighted Bergman space. In a similar fashion Poisson integrals are investigated. Using a Gutzmer's type formula we characterize the range as a class of functions extending holomorphically to an appropriate domain in the complexification of $M(2)$. We also prove a Paley-Wiener theorem for the inverse Fourier transform.

MSC 2000 : Primary 22E30; Secondary 22E45.

Keywords : Segal-Bargmann transform, Poisson integrals, Paley-Wiener theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following results from Euclidean Fourier analysis :

(I): A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits a factorization $f(x) = g * p_t(x)$ where $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}}$ (the heat kernel on $\mathbb{R}^n$) if and only if f extends as an entire function to $\mathbb{C}^n$ and we have
$$\frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}} dx dy < \infty \quad (z = x + iy).$$
 In this case we also have

$$\|g\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f(z)|^2 e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}} dx dy.$$

The mapping $g \rightarrow g * p_t$ is called the Segal-Bargmann transform and the above says that the Segal-Bargmann transform is a unitary map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ onto $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \mu)$, where $d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}} dx dy$ and $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the space of entire functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The first author was supported in part by a grant from UGC via DSA-SAP and the second author was supported by Shyama Prasad Mukherjee Fellowship from Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India.

(II) A function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ admits a holomorphic extension to the strip $\{x + iy : |y| < t\}$ such that

$$\sup_{|y| \leq s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \forall s < t$$

if and only if

$$e^{s|\xi|} \tilde{f}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad \forall s < t$$

where $\tilde{f}$ denotes the Fourier transform of f .

(III) An $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits an entire extension to $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$|f(z)| \leq C_N (1 + |z|)^{-N} e^{R|Imz|} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

if and only if $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } \tilde{f} \subseteq \mathbb{B}(0, R)$, where $\mathbb{B}(0, R)$ is the ball of radius R centered around 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this paper we aim to prove similar results for the non-commutative group $M(2) = \mathbb{R}^2 \ltimes SO(2)$. Some remarks are in order.

As noted above the map $g \rightarrow g * p_t$ in (I) is called the Segal-Bargmann transform. This transform has attracted a lot of attention in the recent years mainly due to the work of Hall [3] where a similar result was established for an arbitrary compact Lie group K . Let q_t be the heat kernel on K and let $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complexification of K . Then Hall's result, Theorem 2 in [3], states that the map $f \rightarrow f * q_t$ is a unitary map from $L^2(K)$ onto the Hilbert space of ν -square integrable holomorphic functions on $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ for an appropriate positive K -invariant measure ν on $K_{\mathbb{C}}$. Soon after Hall's paper a similar result was proved for compact symmetric spaces by Stenzel in [9]. We also refer to [4], [5], [6] and [7] for similar results for other groups and spaces.

The second result above (II) is originally due to Paley and Wiener. Let

$\mathcal{H}_t = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), f \text{ has a holomorphic extension to } |Imz| < t \text{ and}$

$$\sup_{|y| \leq s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \forall s < t\}.$$

Then $\bigcup_{t>0} \mathcal{H}_t$ may be viewed as the space of all analytic vectors for the regular representation of $\mathbb{R}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This point of view was further developed by R. Goodman (see [1] and [2]) who studied analytic vectors for representations of Lie groups. The theorem of Paley-Wiener (II) characterizes analytic vectors for the regular representation of $\mathbb{R}$ via a condition on the Fourier transform.

The third result (III) is the classical Paley-Wiener theorem. For a long time the Paley-Wiener theorem has been looked at as a characterization of the image (under Fourier transform) of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty$ functions on the space you are interested in. Recently, using Gutzmer's formula Thangavelu [11] has proved a Paley-Wiener type result for the inverse Fourier transform. See [8] also for a similar result.

The plan of this paper is as follows : In the remaining of this section we recall the representation theory and Plancherel theorem of $M(2)$ and we prove the unitarity of the Segal-Bargmann transform. In the next section we study generalized Segal-Bargmann transform and prove an analogue of Theorem 8 and Theorem 10 in [3]. Third section is devoted to a study of Poisson integrals on $M(2)$. This section is modeled after the work of Goodman [1] and [2]. In the final section we establish a Paley-Wiener type result for the inverse Fourier transform on $M(2)$.

The rigid motion group $M(2)$ is the semi-direct product of $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $SO(2)$ (which will be identified with the circle group S^1) with the group law

$$(x, e^{i\alpha}) \cdot (y, e^{i\beta}) = (x + e^{i\alpha}y, e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}) \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2; e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta} \in S^1.$$

This group may be identified with a matrix subgroup of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ via the map

$$(x, e^{i\alpha}) \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Unitary irreducible representations of $M(2)$ are completely described by Mackey's theory of induced representations. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $g \in M(2)$, we define U_g^ξ as follows :

$$U_g^\xi F(s) = e^{i\langle x, s\xi \rangle} F(r^{-1}s)$$

for $g = (x, r)$ and $F \in L^2(S^1)$.

It is known that U_ξ is equivalent to $U_{\xi'}$ iff $|\xi| = |\xi'|$. The above collection gives all the unitary irreducible representations of $M(2)$ sufficient for the Plancherel theorem to be true. The Plancherel theorem (see Theorem 4.2 of [10]) reads

$$\int_{M(2)} |f(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\hat{f}(\xi)\|_{HS}^2 d\xi$$

where $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is the 'group Fourier transform' defined as an operator from $L^2(S^1)$ to $L^2(S^1)$ by

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{M(2)} f(g) U_g^\xi dg.$$

Moreover, the group Fourier Transform $\hat{f}(\xi)$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of $f \in L^1(M(2))$ is an integral operator with the kernel $k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta})$ where

$$k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) = \tilde{f}(e^{i\beta}\xi, e^{i(\beta-\alpha)}),$$

and $\tilde{f}$ is the Euclidean Fourier Transform of f in the $\mathbb{R}^2$ -variable.

The Lie algebra of $M(2)$ is given by $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : (x, e^{i\alpha}) \in M(2) \right\}$.

Let

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then it is easy to see that $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ forms a basis for the Lie algebra of $M(2)$. The "Laplacian" $\Delta_{M(2)} = \Delta$ is defined by

$$\Delta = -(X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2).$$

A simple computation shows that $\Delta = -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2}$ where $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$. Since $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2}$ commute, it follows that the heat kernel ψ_t associated to $\Delta_{M(2)}$ is given by the product of the heat kernels p_t on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and q_t on $SO(2)$. In other words,

$$\psi_t(x, e^{i\alpha}) = p_t(x)q_t(e^{i\alpha}) = \frac{1}{4\pi t} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-n^2 t} e^{in\alpha}.$$

Let $f \in L^2(M(2))$. Expanding f in the $SO(2)$ variable we obtain

$$f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m(x) e^{im\alpha}$$

where $f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, e^{i\alpha}) e^{-im\alpha} d\alpha$ and the convergence is understood in the L^2 -sense. Since p_t is radial (as a function on $\mathbb{R}^2$) a simple computation shows that

$$f * p_t(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m * p_t(x) e^{-m^2 t} e^{im\alpha}.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ which are square integrable with respect to $\mu \otimes \nu(z, w)$ where

$$d\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2t}} dx dy \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^2$$

and

$$d\nu(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{e^{-\frac{(\ln|w|)^2}{2t}}}{|w|^2} dw \text{ on } \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Using the Segal-Bargmann result for $\mathbb{R}^2$ and S^1 we can easily prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $f \in L^2(M(2))$, then $f * p_t$ extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, the map $f \rightarrow f * p_t$ is a unitary map from $L^2(M(2))$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*)$.*

2. GENERALIZATIONS OF SEGAL-BARGMANN TRANSFORM

In this section we study generalizations of the Segal-Bargmann transform and prove an analogue of Theorem 8 and Theorem 10 in [3].

Let μ be any radial real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that

- $\mu(x) > 0 \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and is locally bounded away from zero,
- $\forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \sigma(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2x \cdot y} \mu(y) dy < \infty$.

Define, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$

$$\psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{ia(y)}}{\sqrt{\sigma(y)}} e^{-iy \cdot z} dy,$$

where a is a real valued measurable function on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Next, let ν be a measure on $\mathbb{C}^*$ such that

- ν is S^1 -invariant,
- ν is given by a positive density which is locally bounded away from zero,
- $\forall \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \delta(n) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^*} |w|^{2n} d\nu(w) < \infty$.

Define $\chi(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{\delta(n)}} w^n$ for $w \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|c_n| = 1$.

Also define $\phi(z, w) = \psi(z) \chi(w)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^2, w \in \mathbb{C}^*$. It is easy to see that $\phi(z, w)$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. We have the following Paley-Wiener type theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The mapping*

$$C_\phi f(z, w) = \int_{M(2)} f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}) \phi((\xi, e^{i\alpha})^{-1}(z, w)) d\xi d\alpha$$

is an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(M(2))$ onto

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*, \mu(y) dx dy d\nu(w)).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(M(2))$ and

$$(2.1) \quad f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m(x) e^{im\alpha}$$

where $f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, e^{i\alpha}) e^{-im\alpha} d\alpha$. Since the function $\phi(x, e^{i\alpha})$, for $(x, e^{i\alpha}) \in M(2)$ is radial in the $\mathbb{R}^2$ variable x , a simple computation shows that the Fourier series of $f * \phi(x, e^{i\alpha})$ is given by

$$f * \phi(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m * \psi(x) \frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}} e^{im\alpha}.$$

Now, notice that $f_m \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_m * \psi$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Moreover, by Theorem 8 of [3] we have

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} |f_m * \psi(z)|^2 \mu(y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_m(x)|^2 dx.$$

Naturally, the analytic continuation of $f * \phi(x, e^{i\alpha})$ to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad f * \phi(z, w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m * \psi(z) \frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}} w^m.$$

We show that the series in (2.3) converges uniformly on compact sets in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ proving the holomorphicity. Let K be a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. For $(z, w) \in K$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m * \psi(z) \frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}} w^m \right| \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_m * \psi(z)| \frac{|w|^m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}}.$$

By Fourier inversion (see also Theorem 8 in [3])

$$f_m * \psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widetilde{f_m}(\xi) \frac{e^{ia(\xi)}}{\sqrt{\sigma(\xi)}} e^{-i\xi(x+iy)} d\xi$$

where $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\widetilde{f_m}$ is the Fourier transform of f_m . Hence, if z varies in a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_m * \psi(z)| &\leq \|f_m\|_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{e^{2\xi \cdot y}}{\sigma(\xi)} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|f_m\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above in (2.4) and assuming $|w| \leq R$ (as w varies in a compact set in $\mathbb{C}^*$) we have

$$\left| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m * \psi(z) \frac{c_m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}} w^m \right| \leq C \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_m\|_2 \frac{R^m}{\sqrt{\delta(m)}}.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the above, noting that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f_m\|_2^2 = \|f\|_2^2 \text{ and } \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{R^{2m}}{\delta(m)} < \infty$$

we prove the above claim. Applying Theorem 10 in [3] for S^1 we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^*} |f * \phi(z, w)|^2 d\nu(w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |f_m * \psi(z)|^2.$$

Integrating the above against $\mu(y)dx dy$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and using (2.2) we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} \int_{\mathbb{C}^*} |f * \phi(z, w)|^2 \mu(y) dx dy d\nu(w) = \|f\|_2^2.$$

To prove that the map C_ϕ is surjective it suffices to prove that the range of C_ϕ is dense in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*, \mu(y)dx dy d\nu(w))$. For this, consider functions of the form $f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = g(x)e^{im\alpha} \in L^2(M(2))$ where $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then a simple computation shows that

$$f * \phi(z, w) = g * \psi(z)w^m \text{ for } (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Suppose $F \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*) \cap L^2(\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*, \mu(y)dx dy d\nu(w))$ be such that

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*} F(z, w) \overline{g * \psi(z)} \overline{w^m} \mu(y) dx dy d\nu(w) = 0$$

$\forall g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (2.5) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} F(z, w) \overline{g * \psi(z)} d\mu(z) \right) \overline{w^m} d\nu(w) = 0,$$

which by Theorem 10 of [3] implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^2} F(z, w) \overline{g * \psi(z)} d\mu(z) = 0.$$

Finally, an application of Theorem 8 of [3] shows that $F \equiv 0$. Hence the proof. $\square$

3. POISSON INTEGRALS AND PALEY-WIENER TYPE THEOREMS

In this section we study the Poisson integrals on $M(2)$. We also find conditions on the 'group Fourier transform' of a function so that it extends holomorphically to an appropriate domain in the complexification of the group. We start with the following Gutzmer-type lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in L^2(M(2))$ extend holomorphically to the domain*

$$\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* : |Imz| < t, \frac{1}{R} < |w| < R\}$$

and

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, \frac{1}{N} < |w| < N\}} \int_{M(2)} |f(x + iy, |w|e^{i\theta})|^2 dx d\theta < \infty$$

$\forall s < t$ and $N < R$. Then

$$\int_{M(2)} |f(x + iy, |w|e^{i\theta})|^2 dx d\theta = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{f}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{-2\xi \cdot y} d\xi \right) |w|^{2n}$$

provided $|y| < t$ and $\frac{1}{R} < |w| < R$. Conversely, if

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, \frac{1}{N} < |w| < N\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{f}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{-2\xi \cdot y} d\xi \right) |w|^{2n} < \infty \quad \forall s < t \text{ and } N < R$$

then f extends holomorphically to the domain

$$\left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^* : |Imz| < t, \frac{1}{R} < |w| < R \right\}$$

and

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, \frac{1}{N} < |w| < N\}} \int_{M(2)} |f(x + iy, |w|e^{i\theta})|^2 dx d\theta < \infty \quad \forall s < t \text{ and } N < R.$$

Proof. Notice that $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, e^{i\alpha}) e^{-in\alpha} d\alpha$. It follows that $f_n(x)$ has a holomorphic extension to $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |Imz| < t\}$ and

$$\sup_{|y| < s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_n(x + iy)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \forall s < t.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_n(x + iy)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{f}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{-2\xi \cdot y} d\xi \text{ for } |y| < s \quad \forall s < t.$$

Now, for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}^2$ with $|Imz| < s$ the function $w \rightarrow f(z, w)$ is holomorphic in the annulus $\{w \in \mathbb{C}^* : \frac{1}{N} < |w| < N\}$ for every $s < t$ and $N < R$ and so admits a Laurent series expansion

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} a_m(z) w^m.$$

It follows that $a_m(z) = f_m(z) \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$. First part of the lemma is proved now by appealing to the Plancherel theorem on S^1 and $\mathbb{R}^2$. Converse can also be proved similarly.

□

Recall from the introduction that the Laplacian Δ on $M(2)$ is given by $\Delta = -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2}$. If $f \in L^2(M(2))$ it is easy to see that

$$e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widetilde{f_m}(\xi) e^{-t(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \right) e^{im\alpha}.$$

We have the following (almost) characterization of the Poisson integrals. Let Ω_s denote the domain in $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ defined by

$$\Omega_s = \{(z, w) : |Imz| < s, e^{-s} < |w| < e^s\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in L^2(M(2))$. Then $g = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$ extends to a holomorphic function on the domain $\Omega_{\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}}$ and*

$$\sup_{\left\{ |y| < \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}, e^{-\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}} < |w| < e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}} \right\}} \int_{M(2)} |g(x + iy, |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha < \infty.$$

Conversely, let g be a holomorphic function on Ω_t and

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, e^{-s} < |w| < e^s\}} \int_{M(2)} |g(x + iy, |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha < \infty \text{ for } s < t.$$

Then $\forall s < t, \exists f \in L^2(M(2))$ such that $e^{-s\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f = g$.

Proof. We know that, if $f \in L^2(M(2))$ then

$$g(x, e^{i\alpha}) = e^{-t\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widetilde{f_m}(\xi) e^{-t(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \right) e^{im\alpha}.$$

Also, $g(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g_m(x) e^{im\alpha}$ with $\widetilde{g_m}(\xi) = \widetilde{f_m}(\xi) e^{-t(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$. If $s \leq \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}$ it is easy to show that

$$\sup_{\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}} e^{-2t(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{2|\xi||y|} e^{2|m|s} \leq C < \infty \text{ for } |y| \leq \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{\left\{ |y| < \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}, e^{-\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}} < |w| < e^{\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}}} \right\}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\widetilde{g_m}(\xi)|^2 e^{-2\xi \cdot y} d\xi \right) |w|^{2m} < \infty.$$

By the previous lemma we prove the first part of the theorem.

Conversely, let g be a holomorphic function on Ω_t and

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, e^{-s} < |w| < e^s\}} \int_{M(2)} |g(x + iy, |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha < \infty \text{ for } s < t.$$

By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\sup_{\{|y| < s, e^{-s} < |w| < e^s\}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{g}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{-2\xi \cdot y} d\xi \right) |w|^{2n} < \infty \text{ for } s < t.$$

Integrating the above over $|y| = s < t$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{g}_n(\xi)|^2 J_0(i2s|\xi|) d\xi \right) |w|^{2n} < \infty,$$

where J_0 is the Bessel function of first kind. Noting that $J_0(i2s|\xi|) \sim e^{2s|\xi|}$ for large $|\xi|$ we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{g}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{2s|\xi|} e^{2|n|s} d\xi < \infty \text{ for } s < t.$$

This surely implies that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{g}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{2s(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} d\xi < \infty \text{ for } s < t.$$

Defining $\widetilde{f_m}(\xi)$ by $\widetilde{f_m}(\xi) = \widetilde{g_m}(\xi) e^{s(|\xi|^2 + m^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ we obtain

$$f(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m(x) e^{im\alpha} \in L^2(M(2))$$

and $g = e^{-s\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}}} f$.

□

Remark 3.3. A similar result may be proved for the operator $e^{-t\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-t\Delta_{S^1}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

Analytic vectors. Let π be a unitary representation of a Lie group G on a Hilbert space H . A vector $v \in H$ is called an analytic vector for π if the function $g \rightarrow \pi(g)v$ is analytic.

Recall the representations U_g^ξ from the introduction. Denote by U_g^a the representations $U_g^{(a,0)}$ for $a > 0$. If $e_n(\theta) = e^{in\theta} \in L^2(S^1)$, it is easy to see that e_n 's are analytic vectors for these representations. For $g = (x, e^{i\alpha}) \in M(2)$, we have

$$(U_g^a e_n)(\theta) = e^{i\langle x, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} e^{in(\theta - \alpha)}.$$

This action of U_g^a on e_n can clearly be analytically continued to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and we obtain

$$(U_{(z,w)}^a e_n)(\theta) = e^{i\langle x, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} e^{-\langle y, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} w^{-n} e^{in\theta}$$

where $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

We also note that the action of S^1 on $\mathbb{R}^2$ naturally extends to an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathbb{C}^2$ given by

$$w(z_1, z_2) = (z_1 \cos \zeta - z_2 \sin \zeta, z_1 \sin \zeta + z_2 \cos \zeta)$$

where $w = e^{i\zeta} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Our next theorem is in the same spirit of Theorem 3.1 from Goodman [2].

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f \in L^2(M(2))$. Then f extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ with*

$$\int_{|y|=r} \int_{M(2)} |f(w^{-1}(x + iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha d\sigma_r(y) < \infty$$

(where σ_r is the normalized surface area measure on the sphere $\{|y| = r\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$) iff

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=r} \|U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)\|_{HS}^2 d\sigma_r(y) da < \infty$$

where $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In this case we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=r} \|U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)\|_{HS}^2 d\sigma_r(y) da \\ &= \int_{|y|=r} \int_{M(2)} |f(w^{-1}(x + iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha d\sigma_r(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First assume that $f \in L^2(M(2))$ satisfies the transformation property

$$(3.1) \quad f(e^{i\theta}x, e^{i\alpha}) = e^{im\theta} f(x, e^{i\alpha})$$

for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\forall (x, e^{i\alpha}) \in M(2)$. As earlier we have

$$\left(\hat{f}(a)e_n \right) (\theta) = \tilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta})e^{in\theta}.$$

By the Hecke-Bochner identity, we have

$$\tilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta}) = i^{-|m|} a^{|m|} (\mathcal{F}_{2+2|m|}g)(a)e^{im\theta}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{2+2|m|}(g)$ is the $2+2|m|$ -dimensional Fourier transform of $g(x) = \frac{f_n(|x|)}{|x|^{|m|}}$, considered as a radial function on $\mathbb{R}^{2+2|m|}$.

Hence,

$$\left(\hat{f}(a)e_n \right) (\theta) = i^{-|m|} a^{|m|} (\mathcal{F}_{2+2|m|}g)(a)e^{i(m+n)\theta}.$$

It follows that $\hat{f}(a)e_n$ is an analytic vector and we can apply $U_{(z,w)}^a$ to the above. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)e_n \right) (\theta) \\ &= e^{i\langle x, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} e^{-\langle y, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} i^{-|m|} a^{|m|} (\mathcal{F}_{2+2|m|} g)(a) w^{-(m+n)} e^{i(m+n)\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^1} \left| \left[U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)e_n \right] (\theta) \right|^2 d\theta \\ &= |w|^{-2(m+n)} \int_{S^1} a^{2m} |(\mathcal{F}_{2+2m} g)(a)|^2 e^{-2\langle y, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} d\theta \\ &= |w|^{-2(m+n)} \int_{S^1} e^{-2\langle y, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} |\tilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & \int_0^\infty \|U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)\|_{HS}^2 a da \\ &= |w|^{-2m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |w|^{-2n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-2\langle y, \xi \rangle} |\tilde{f}_n(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, if f extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ we must have $f(w^{-1}z, w) = w^{-m} f(z, w) \forall (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ because of (3.1).

In view of Lemma 3.1, the above remark and the identity (3.2), the theorem is established for functions with transformation property (3.1) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=r} \|U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}(a)\|_{HS}^2 d\sigma_r(y) a da \\ &= \int_{|y|=r} \int_{M(2)} |f(w^{-1}(x+iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha d\sigma_r(y). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we deal with the general case. For $f \in L^2(M(2))$ define

$$f^m(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \int_{S^1} f(e^{i\theta}x, e^{i\alpha}) e^{-im\theta} d\theta.$$

Then $f^m(e^{i\theta}x, e^{i\alpha}) = e^{im\theta} f^m(x, e^{i\alpha})$ and f_m 's are orthogonal on $M(2)$. Assume that f extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Then, so does $f^m \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & \int_{|y|=r} \int_{M(2)} |f(w^{-1}(x+iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha d\sigma_r(y) \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{|y|=r} \int_{M(2)} |f^m(w^{-1}(x+iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha d\sigma_r(y). \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the fact that

$$\int_{|y|=r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^m(w^{-1}(x+iy), w) \overline{f^l(w^{-1}(x+iy), w)} dx d\sigma_r(y) = 0 \text{ if } m \neq l.$$

Applying identity (3.3) we get from (3.4)

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=r} \|U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f^m}(a)\|_{HS}^2 adad\sigma_r(y) < \infty.$$

Now, let $\langle T, S \rangle_{HS} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Te_n, Se_n \rangle$ denote the inner product in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(S^1)$. Then we notice that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{|y|=r} \left\langle U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f^m}(a), U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f^l}(a) \right\rangle_{HS} d\sigma_r(y) \\ &= \delta_{ml} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{-l} \left(\frac{a}{i} \right)^{m+l} (\mathcal{F}_{2+2|m|} g^m)(a) \\ & \quad \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{2+2|l|} g^l)(a)} w^{-(m+n)} (\overline{w})^{-(l+n)} J_0(2ira). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.5) implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{|y|=r} \|U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f}(a)\|_{HS}^2 adad\sigma_r(y) < \infty.$$

To prove the converse, we first show that f has a holomorphic extension to whole of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Recall that we have

$$\left(\widehat{f}(a) e_n \right) (\theta) = \widetilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta}) e^{in\theta}.$$

Expanding $\widetilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta})$ into Fourier series we have

$$\widetilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{a,n}(k) e^{ik\theta}.$$

Hence $\left(U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f}(a) e_n \right) (\theta)$ is given by

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} C_{a,n}(k) e^{i\langle x, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} e^{-\langle y, ae^{i\theta} \rangle} w^{-(k+n)} e^{i(k+n)\theta}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{|y|=r} \int_{S^1} \left| \left[U_{(z,w)}^a \widehat{f}(a) e_n \right] (\theta) \right|^2 d\theta d\sigma_r(y) = J_0(2ira) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |C_{a,n}(k)|^2 |w|^{-(k+n)}.$$

Notice that $J_0(2ira) \sim e^{2ra}$ for large a and $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |C_{a,n}(k)|^2 = \int_{S^1} |\tilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$.

If $e^{-r} < |w| < e^r$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{S^1} |\tilde{f}_n(ae^{i\theta})|^2 e^{2ra} d\theta da \right) |w|^{2n} < \infty,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{f}_n(\xi)|^2 e^{2r|\xi|} d\xi \right) |w|^{2n} < \infty.$$

Since this is true for all $r > 0$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^*$, by Lemma 3.1 f extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$. It follows that $f^m(x, e^{i\alpha})$ defined by

$$f^m(x, e^{i\alpha}) = \int_{S^1} f(e^{i\theta}x, e^{i\alpha}) e^{-im\theta} d\theta$$

also extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and

$$\int_{M(2)} |f^m((x + iy), |w|e^{i\alpha})|^2 dx d\alpha < \infty.$$

Now the proof can be completed using the identity (3.3), orthogonality of $U_{(z,w)}^a \hat{f}^m(a)$ (see (3.6)) and (3.4). □

4. A PALEY-WIENER THEOREM FOR THE INVERSE FOURIER TRANSFORM

Recall from the introduction that the 'group Fourier Transform' $\hat{f}(\xi)$, for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of $f \in L^1(M(2))$ is an integral operator with the kernel $k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta})$ where $k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) = \tilde{f}(e^{i\beta}\xi, e^{i(\beta-\alpha)})$, $\tilde{f}$ being the Euclidean Fourier Transform of f in the $\mathbb{R}^2$ -variable. We have the following Paley-Wiener theorem for the inverse Fourier Transform :

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in L^1(M(2))$ be such that $\hat{f}(\xi) \equiv 0 \forall |\xi| > R$ and the kernel k_f of $\hat{f}(\xi)$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1 \times S^1$. Then $x \rightarrow f(x, e^{i\alpha})$ extends to an entire function of exponential type R such that*

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{e^{i\alpha} \in S^1} |z^m f(z, e^{i\alpha})| \leq c_m e^{R|Imz|}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}^2.$$

Conversely, if f extends to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^2$ in the first variable and satisfies (4.1) then $\hat{f}(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| > R$ and k_f is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. We have

$$(\hat{f}(\xi)F)(e^{i\alpha}) = \int_{S^1} k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) F(e^{i\beta}) d\beta, \quad \text{for } F \in L^2(S^1)$$

where $k_f(\xi, e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) = \tilde{f}(e^{i\beta}\xi, e^{i(\beta-\alpha)})$.

Assume that $\hat{f}(\xi) \equiv 0 \ \forall \ |\xi| > R$. Since k_f is smooth we have $\tilde{f}(\cdot, e^{i\alpha}) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By the Paley-Wiener theorem on $\mathbb{R}^2$ we obtain that $f(\cdot, e^{i\alpha})$ extends to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}^2$ of exponential type. Moreover, if $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$z^m f(z, e^{i\alpha}) = \int_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{\partial^m \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi^m}(\xi, e^{i\alpha}) e^{i\xi \cdot z} d\xi.$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{e^{i\alpha} \in S^1} |z^m f(z, e^{i\alpha})| \leq \sup_{e^{i\alpha} \in S^1} \left\| \frac{\partial^m \tilde{f}}{\partial \xi^m}(\cdot, e^{i\alpha}) \right\|_1 e^{R|Imz|}.$$

Conversely, if f is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}^2$ and satisfies (4.1), by the Paley-Wiener theorem on $\mathbb{R}^2$ we get $\tilde{f}(\cdot, e^{i\alpha}) \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\tilde{f}(\xi, e^{i\alpha}) = 0 \ \forall \ |\xi| > R$. Hence $\hat{f}(\xi) \equiv 0 \ \forall \ |\xi| > R$. Moreover, k_f is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^2$ since $\tilde{f}$ is smooth. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. W. Goodman, *Analytic and entire vectors for representations of Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 143 (1969), 55–76.
- [2] R. W. Goodman, *Complex Fourier analysis on a nilpotent Lie group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 160 (1971), 373–391.
- [3] B. C. Hall, *The Segal-Bargmann “coherent state” transform for compact Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal., 122 (1994) no. 1, 103–151.
- [4] B. C. Hall, W. Lewkeeratiyutkul, *Holomorphic Sobolev spaces and the generalized Segal-Bargmann transform*, J. Funct. Anal., 217 (2004) no. 1, 192–220.
- [5] B. C. Hall, J. J. Mitchell, *The Segal-Bargmann transform for non compact symmetric spaces of the complex type*, J. Funct. Anal., 227 (2005) no. 2, 338–371.
- [6] B. Krötz, G. Ólafsson, R. J. Stanton, *The image of the heat kernel transform on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the non compact type*, Int. Math. Res. Not., (2005) no. 22, 1307–1329.
- [7] B. Krötz, S. Thangavelu, Y. Xu, *The heat kernel transform for the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal., 225 (2005), no. 2, 301–336.
- [8] A. Pasquale, *A Paley-Wiener theorem for the inverse spherical transform*, Pacific J. Math., 193 (2000), no. 1, 143–176.
- [9] M. B. Stenzel, *The Segal-Bargmann transform on a symmetric space of compact type*, J. Funct. Anal., 165 (1999) no. 1, 44–58.
- [10] M. Sugiura, *Fourier series of smooth functions on compact Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math., 8 (1971), 33–47.

- [11] S. Thangavelu, *A Paley-Wiener theorem for the inverse Fourier transform on some homogeneous spaces*, Hiroshima Math. J., 37 (2007), no. 2, 145–159.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE
- 560012, INDIA.

E-mail address: `naru@math.iisc.ernet.in`, `suparna@math.iisc.ernet.in`.